

SLIGHTLY IMPROVED SUM-PRODUCT ESTIMATES IN FIELDS OF PRIME ORDER

LIANGPAN LI

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathbb{F}_p$ be the field of residue classes modulo a prime number p and let A be a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{F}_p$. In this paper we show that if $|A| \preceq p^{0.5}$, then

$$\max\{|A \pm A|, |AA|\} \succeq |A|^{13/12};$$

if $|A| \succeq p^{0.5}$, then

$$\max\{|A \pm A|, |AA|\} \gtrsim \min\{|A|^{13/12} \left(\frac{|A|}{p^{0.5}}\right)^{1/12}, |A| \left(\frac{p}{|A|}\right)^{1/11}\}.$$

These results slightly improve the estimates of Bourgain-Garaev and Shen. Sum-product estimates on different sets are also considered.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{F}_p$ be the field of residue classes modulo a prime number p and let A, B be two nonempty subsets of $\mathbb{F}_p$. Define the sum set, difference set and product set of A and B respectively by

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\},$$

$$A - B = \{a - b : a \in A, b \in B\},$$

$$AB = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

From the work of Bourgain, Katz, Tao [4] and Bourgain, Glibichuk, Konyagin [3], it is known that if $|A| \preceq p^\delta$ (see Section 2 for the definitions of $\preceq, \succeq, \gtrsim, \lesssim$ and $\sim$), where $\delta < 1$, then one has the sum-product estimate

$$\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \succeq |A|^{1+\epsilon}$$

for some $\epsilon = \epsilon(\delta) > 0$. These kinds of results have found many important applications in various areas of mathematics, and people want to know some quantitative relationships between δ and ϵ in certain ranges of $|A|$. For the case $|A| \succeq p^{0.5}$, the pioneer work was due to Hart, Iosevich and Solymosi [12] via Kloosterman sums. See [6, 8, 18] for further improvements. Note also all lower bounds in [6, 8, 12, 18] are trivial if $|A| \sim p^{0.5}$.

In the very beginning of the story for the case $|A| \preceq p^{0.5}$, Garaev [7] proved

$$\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \gtrsim |A|^{15/14},$$

which was immediately improved by Katz and Shen [13] with a refinement of the Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality to

$$\max\{|A + A|, |AA|\} \gtrsim |A|^{14/13}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B75.

Key words and phrases. sum-product estimates.

Later on, Bourgain and Garaev [2] considered difference-product estimates and proved

$$(1) \quad \max\{|A - A|, |AA|\} \succeq \frac{|A|^{13/12}}{(\log_2 |A|)^{4/11}},$$

which was slightly improved by Shen [15, 16] with elegant covering arguments to

$$(2) \quad \max\{|A \pm A|, |AA|\} \succeq \frac{|A|^{13/12}}{(\log_2 |A|)^{1/3}}.$$

With a technique of Chang [5], we can completely drop the logarithmic term in (2).

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|A| \preceq p^{0.5}$. Then*

$$\max\{|A \pm A|, |AA|\} \succeq |A|^{13/12}.$$

Note the Bourgain-Garaev estimate (1) also holds for $p^{0.5} \preceq |A| \preceq p^{12/23}$ (see [2]). In these ranges and beyond, our next result says that:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|A| \succeq p^{0.5}$. Then*

$$\max\{|A \pm A|, |AA|\} \lesssim \min\{|A|^{13/12} \left(\frac{|A|}{p^{0.5}}\right)^{1/12}, |A| \left(\frac{p}{|A|}\right)^{1/11}\}.$$

To compare, if $|A| \preceq p^{12/23}$, then $|A|^{13/12} \preceq |A| \left(\frac{p}{|A|}\right)^{1/11}$. Particularly, if $|A| \sim p^{35/68}$, then $\max\{|A \pm A|, |AA|\} \lesssim |A|^{38/35}$. This shows Theorem 1.2 is an improvement of (1).

Similarly, we may consider sum-product estimates on different sets in $\mathbb{F}_p$. Bourgain [1] proved that if $p^{1-\delta} \preceq |B| \preceq |A| \preceq p^\delta$, then for some $\epsilon = \epsilon(\delta) > 0$,

$$\max\left\{\frac{|A+B|}{|A|}, \frac{|AB|}{|A|}\right\} \succeq p^\epsilon.$$

Shen [14] quantitatively proved that (a) if $|B| \preceq |A| \preceq p^{0.5}$, then

$$\max\left\{\frac{|A+B|}{|A|}, \frac{|AB|}{|A|}\right\} \lesssim \left(\frac{|B|^{14}}{|A|^{13}}\right)^{1/18};$$

(b) if $|B| \sim |A| \preceq p^{0.5}$, then

$$\max\{|A+B|, |AB|\} \lesssim |A|^{15/14}.$$

We can also give some improvements.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose $A, B \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|B| \preceq |A| \preceq p^{0.5}$. Then*

$$\max\left\{\frac{|A+B|}{|A|}, \frac{|AB|}{|A|}\right\} \lesssim \left(\frac{|B|^6}{|A|^5}\right)^{1/14}.$$

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose $A, B \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|A| \succeq |B| \succeq p^{0.5}$. Then*

$$\max\left\{\frac{|A+B|}{|A|}, \frac{|AB|}{|A|}\right\} \lesssim \min\left\{\left(\frac{|B|^7}{|A|^5 p^{0.5}}\right)^{1/14}, \left(\frac{|B|^3 p}{|A|^4}\right)^{1/12}\right\}.$$

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose $A, B \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|B| \sim |A| \preceq p^{0.5}$. Then*

$$\max\{|A+B|, |AB|\} \succeq |A|^{15/14}.$$

2. NOTATIONS AND LEMMAS

Throughout this paper A will denote a fixed nonempty set in $\mathbb{F}_p$. For B , any set, we will denote by $|B|$ its cardinality. Whenever E and F are quantities we will use $E \preceq F$ or $F \succeq E$ to mean $E \leq CF$, where the constant C is universal (i.e. independent of A and p). We will use $E \lesssim F$ or $F \gtrsim E$ to mean $E \leq C(\log |A|)^\alpha F$, where the universal constants C and α may vary from line to line. Besides, $E \sim F$ means $E \preceq F$ and $F \preceq E$.

For $Y, Z \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, denote by $E^+(Y, Z)$ the additive energy between Y and Z , that is,

$$E^+(Y, Z) = \sum_{x \in Y} \sum_{y \in Y} |(x + Z) \cap (y + Z)|;$$

denote by $E^\times(Y, Z)$ the multiplicative energy between Y and Z , that is,

$$E^\times(Y, Z) = \sum_{x \in Y} \sum_{y \in Y} |xZ \cap yZ|.$$

It is well-known [17] that

$$E^\odot(Y, Z) \geq \frac{|Y|^2 |Z|^2}{|Y \odot Z|},$$

where $\odot \in \{+, \times\}$.

In the following we will give some preliminary lemmas. Lemma 2.1 may be found in [14, 15, 16], while Lemma 2.2 in [11, 13]. Lemma 2.3, following from the work of Glibichuk and Konyagin [9, 10] on additive properties of product sets, was proved in [2, 7, 13, 15]. Since the author have not found a proof of Lemma 2.4 in some popular references, we include a short proof here. Lemma 2.5 is due to Chang [5], whereas we present a slightly different variant.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $B_1, B_2 \subset \mathbb{F}_p$. Then there exist $\preceq \min\{\frac{|B_1+B_2|}{|B_2|}, \frac{|B_1-B_2|}{|B_2|}\}$ translates of B_2 such that these copies can cover (in cardinality) 99% of B_1 .*

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_k \subset \mathbb{F}_p$. Given any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exist a universal constant $C_{k,\epsilon}$ and an $X \subset B_0$ with $|X| \geq (1 - \epsilon)|B_0|$ such that*

$$|X + B_1 + B_2 + \dots + B_k| \leq C_{k,\epsilon} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{|B_i + B_0|}{|B_0|} \right) \cdot |X|.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $A_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $\frac{A_1 - A_1}{A_1 - A_1} \neq \mathbb{F}_p$. Then (1) $|A_1| \preceq p^{0.5}$; (2) there exist fixed elements $a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1 \in A_1$ ($a_1 \neq b_1$) such that for any $A' \subset A_1$ with $|A'| \succeq |A_1|$,*

$$|(b_1 - a_1)A' + (b_1 - a_1)A' + (d_1 - c_1)A'| \succeq |A_1|^2;$$

(3) there exist fixed elements $a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2 \in A_1$ ($a_2 \neq b_2$) such that for any $A'' \subset A_1$ with $|A''| \succeq |A_1|$,

$$|(b_2 - a_2)A'' - (b_2 - a_2)A'' + (d_2 - c_2)A''| \succeq |A_1|^2.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose $A_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $\frac{A_1 - A_1}{A_1 - A_1} = \mathbb{F}_p$. Then there exist fixed elements $a_3, b_3, c_3, d_3 \in A_1$ ($a_3 \neq b_3$) such that for any $A''' \subset A_1$ with $|A'''| \succeq |A_1|$,*

$$|(b_3 - a_3)A''' + (d_3 - c_3)A'''| \succeq \min\{|A_1|^2, p\}.$$

Proof. There exists $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p^* = \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}$ (cf. Formula (5) in [9]) such that

$$E^+(A_1, \xi A_1) \leq |A_1|^2 + \frac{|A_1|^4}{p-1}.$$

Since $\frac{A_1 - A_1}{A_1 - A_1} = \mathbb{F}_p$, we can write $\xi = \frac{d_3 - c_3}{b_3 - a_3}$ for some $a_3, b_3, c_3, d_3 \in A_1$. Thus

$$|A''' + \xi A'''| \geq \frac{|A'''|^4}{E^+(A''', \xi A''')} \geq \frac{|A'''|^4}{E^+(A_1, \xi A_1)} \succeq \frac{|A_1|^4}{E^+(A_1, \xi A_1)} \succeq \min\{|A_1|^2, p\}.$$

This proves the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose $Y, Z \subset \mathbb{F}_p$. Choose a fixed element $y_0 \in Y$ so that*

$$\sum_{y \in Y} |y_0 Z \cap y Z| \geq \frac{E^\times(Y, Z)}{|Y|}.$$

For each $j \leq \lceil \log_2 |Z| \rceil$, let Y_j be the set of all $y \in Y$ for which $|y_0 Z \cap y Z| \in N_j$, where $N_1 = \{1, 2\}, N_2 = \{3, 4\}, N_3 = \{5, 6, 7, 8\}, N_4 = \{9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16\}, \dots$ Then

$$\max_j 16^j |Y_j|^3 \preceq \frac{E^\times(Y, Z)^4}{|Y|^4 |Z|}.$$

Proof. Define $j_s = \max\{j : |Y_j| \in N_s\}$ for each $s \leq \lceil \log_2 |Z| \rceil$ (assume $\max \emptyset = 0$). Clearly,

$$\sum_{s: j_s \geq 1} 2^{j_s} 2^s \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\lceil \log_2 |Z| \rceil} 2^j |Y_j| \sim \sum_{y \in Y} |y_0 Z \cap y Z|.$$

Note also

$$\sum_{s: j_s \geq 1} 2^{j_s} 2^s \leq \left(\max_{s: j_s \geq 1} 2^{j_s} 2^{0.75s} \right) \sum_{s=1}^{\lceil \log_2 |Z| \rceil} 2^{0.25s} \preceq \left(\max_j 2^j |Y_j|^{0.75} \right) \cdot |Z|^{0.25}.$$

This proves the lemma. $\square$

3. SUM-PRODUCT ESTIMATES ON SMALL SETS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Suppose $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|A| \preceq p^{0.5}$. Applying Lemma 2.2 twice with $\epsilon = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, one can find a subset $Z \subset A$ with $|Z| \geq \frac{|A|}{2}$ such that

$$(3) \quad |Z \pm Z \pm Z \pm Z| \leq |Z \pm A \pm A \pm A| \preceq \left(\frac{|A \pm A|}{|A|} \right)^3 |Z| \preceq \frac{|A \pm A|^3}{|A|^2}.$$

Choose a fixed element $z_0 \in Z$ so that

$$\sum_{z \in Z} |z_0 Z \cap z Z| \geq \frac{E^\times(Z, Z)}{|Z|}.$$

For each $j \leq \lceil \log_2 |Z| \rceil$, let Z_j be the set of all $z \in Z$ for which $|z_0 Z \cap z Z| \in N_j$ (see Lemma 2.5 for the meaning of N_j). Then we can deduce from [15, 16] or mimic the proof of Proposition 5.1 (see also Formula (17) in Section 5) to know that

$$(4) \quad \max_j 16^j |Z_j|^3 \preceq |Z \pm Z|^5 \cdot |Z \pm Z \pm Z \pm Z|.$$

By Lemma 2.5,

$$(5) \quad \max_j 16^j |Z_j|^3 \succeq \frac{E^\times(Z, Z)^4}{|Z|^5} \geq \frac{|Z|^{11}}{|ZZ|^4} \succeq \frac{|A|^{11}}{|AA|^4}.$$

Combining (3), (4) and (5) yields

$$|A \pm A|^8 |AA|^4 \succeq |A|^{13}.$$

This proves Theorem 1.1.

Remark 3.1. To establish (1), Bourgain and Garaev [2] actually proved that for any $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ one has

$$E^\times(A, A)^4 \preceq (|A - A| + \frac{|A|^3}{p}) \cdot |A|^5 \cdot |A - A|^4 \cdot |A + A - A - A| \cdot (\log_2 |A|)^4.$$

Particularly, if $|A| \preceq p^{0.5}$, then

$$(6) \quad E^\times(A, A)^4 \preceq |A|^5 \cdot |A - A|^5 \cdot |A + A - A - A| \cdot (\log_2 |A|)^4.$$

Based on the arguments in [2] and this section one can drop the logarithmic term in (6):

$$(7) \quad E^\times(A, A)^4 \preceq |A|^5 \cdot |A - A|^5 \cdot |A + A - A - A|.$$

Besides, two byproducts of the proof of Theorem 1.1 are the estimates (suppose $|A| \preceq p^{0.5}$):

$$(8) \quad E^\times(A, A)^4 \preceq |A|^5 \cdot |A \pm A|^5 \cdot |A \pm A \pm A \pm A|,$$

$$(9) \quad E^\times(A, A)^4 \preceq |A|^3 \cdot |A \pm A|^8.$$

4. SUM-PRODUCT ESTIMATES ON LARGE SETS

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ with $|A| \succeq p^{0.5}$. Similar to the analysis in Section 3, there exist a subset $Z \subset A$ with $|Z| \geq \frac{|A|}{2}$ such that

$$|Z \pm Z \pm Z \pm Z| \preceq \frac{|A \pm A|^3}{|A|^2},$$

and a fixed element $z_0 \in Z$ so that

$$\sum_{z \in Z} |z_0 Z \cap z Z| \geq \frac{|Z|^3}{|ZZ|} \succeq \frac{|A|^3}{|AA|}.$$

For each $j \leq \lceil \log_2 |Z| \rceil$, let Z_j be the set of all $z \in Z$ for which $|z_0 Z \cap z Z| \in N_j$. Choose some $j_0 \leq \lceil \log_2 |Z| \rceil$ so that

$$2^{j_0} |Z_{j_0}| \gtrsim \frac{|A|^3}{|AA|}.$$

There are two cases to consider.

(♠) Suppose $\frac{Z_{j_0} - Z_{j_0}}{Z_{j_0} - Z_{j_0}} \neq \mathbb{F}_p$. By Lemma 2.3, $|Z_{j_0}| \preceq p^{0.5}$. Similar to (4) one can establish

$$16^{j_0} |Z_{j_0}|^3 \preceq |Z \pm Z|^5 \cdot |Z \pm Z \pm Z \pm Z|.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{|A|^{12}}{|AA|^4} \gtrsim 16^{j_0} |Z_{j_0}|^4 \preceq |Z \pm Z|^5 \cdot |Z \pm Z \pm Z \pm Z| \cdot |Z_{j_0}| \preceq \frac{|A \pm A|^8}{|A|^2} \cdot p^{0.5},$$

which yields

$$(10) \quad |A \pm A|^8 |AA|^4 \gtrsim \frac{|A|^{14}}{p^{0.5}}.$$

(♣) Suppose $\frac{Z_{j_0}-Z_{j_0}}{Z_{j_0}-Z_{j_0}} = \mathbb{F}_p$. If $|Z_{j_0}| \preceq p^{0.5}$, then follow the analysis in (♠) to obtain (10). Next suppose $|Z_{j_0}| \succeq p^{0.5}$. Similar to the proof of (4) in [15, 16] one can establish

$$p \preceq \left(\frac{|Z \pm Z|}{2^{j_0}}\right)^4 \cdot |Z \pm Z \pm Z \pm Z|.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{|A|^8}{|AA|^4} \leq \frac{|A|^{12}}{|AA|^4 |Z_{j_0}|^4} \approx 16^{j_0} \preceq \frac{|Z \pm Z|^4 |Z \pm Z \pm Z \pm Z|}{p} \preceq \frac{|A \pm A|^7}{p|A|^2},$$

which yields

$$(11) \quad |A \pm A|^7 |AA|^4 \gtrsim |A|^{10} p.$$

Thus Theorem 1.2 follows from (10) and (11).

5. SUM-PRODUCT ESTIMATES ON DIFFERENT SETS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 together. Suppose $A, B \subset \mathbb{F}_p$. Choose a fixed element $a_0 \in A$ so that

$$\sum_{a \in A} |aB \cap a_0 B| \geq \frac{|A||B|^2}{|AB|}.$$

For each $j \leq \lceil \log_2 |B| \rceil$, let A_j be the set of all $a \in A$ for which $|aB \cap a_0 B| \in N_j$. With such preparation and notations we establish the following proposition (the idea of this proposition is due to Chun-Yen Shen [14, 15, 16]).

Proposition 5.1. (a) If $\frac{A_j - A_j}{A_j - A_j} \neq \mathbb{F}_p$, then

$$(12) \quad 16^j |A_j|^3 \preceq \frac{|A + B|^{10}}{|A|^3 |B|}.$$

(b) If $\frac{A_j - A_j}{A_j - A_j} = \mathbb{F}_p$, then

$$(13) \quad 16^j \cdot \min\{|A_j|^2, p\} \preceq \frac{|A + B|^8}{|A|^3}.$$

(c) No matter what happens, one always has (12) if $|A_j| \preceq p^{0.5}$.

Proof. We only prove this proposition for the case $\frac{A_j - A_j}{A_j - A_j} \neq \mathbb{F}_p$, and the interested reader can similarly deal the case $\frac{A_j - A_j}{A_j - A_j} = \mathbb{F}_p$ and (c) without difficulty. By Lemma 2.3 (if $\frac{A_j - A_j}{A_j - A_j} = \mathbb{F}_p$, then apply Lemma 2.4), one can find $a, b, c, d \in A_j$ ($a \neq b$) such that for any $E \subset A_j$ with $|E| \geq 0.5|A_j|$,

$$(14) \quad |(b - a)E + (b - a)E + (d - c)E| \succeq |A_j|^2.$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exist

$$\preceq \frac{|-aA_j - aB \cap a_0B|}{|aB \cap a_0B|} \preceq \frac{|-aA_j - aB|}{2^j} \preceq \frac{|A+B|}{2^j}$$

translates of $aB \cap a_0B$ such that these copies can cover 99% of $-aA_j$, there exist

$$\preceq \frac{|bA_j + bB \cap a_0B|}{|bB \cap a_0B|} \preceq \frac{|bA_j + bB|}{2^j} \preceq \frac{|A+B|}{2^j}$$

translates of $bB \cap a_0B$ such that these copies can cover 99% of bA_j . Similar facts hold for $-cA_j$ and dA_j with corresponding translates of $cB \cap a_0B$ and $dB \cap a_0B$. Hence there exist a subset $A' \subset A_j$ covering 80% of A_j , and $\preceq \frac{|A+B|}{2^j}$ translates of $aB \cap a_0B$ such that these copies can totally cover $-aA'$, $\preceq \frac{|A+B|}{2^j}$ translates of $bB \cap a_0B$ such that these copies can totally cover bA' , $\preceq \frac{|A+B|}{2^j}$ translates of $cB \cap a_0B$ such that these copies can totally cover $-cA'$, $\preceq \frac{|A+B|}{2^j}$ translates of $dB \cap a_0B$ such that these copies can totally cover dA' . Thus

$$(15) \quad |-aA' + bA' - cA' + dA'| \preceq \left(\frac{|A+B|}{2^j}\right)^4 |a_0B + a_0B + a_0B + a_0B|.$$

By Lemma 2.2, there exists an $E \subset A'$ with $|E| \geq 0.8|A'| \geq 0.64|A_j| \geq 0.5|A_j|$ such that

$$(16) \quad |(b-a)E + (b-a)A' + (d-c)A'| \preceq \frac{|A' + A'|}{|A'|} \cdot |(b-a)A' + (d-c)A'|.$$

Combining (14), (15) and (16) yields

$$(17) \quad |A_j|^3 \preceq |A+A| \cdot \left(\frac{|A+B|}{2^j}\right)^4 \cdot |B+B+B+B|.$$

Thus we can conclude the proof by simply applying the Plünnecke-Ruzsa inequality:

$$|A+A| \leq \frac{|A+B|^2}{|B|}, \quad |B+B+B+B| \leq \frac{|A+B|^4}{|A|^3}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3: Suppose $|A| \preceq p^{0.5}, |B| \preceq p^{0.5}$. Choose $j_0 \leq \lceil \log_2 |B| \rceil$ so that

$$|A_{j_0}| 2^{j_0} \succeq \frac{|A||B|^2}{|AB| \cdot \log_2 |B|}.$$

By Proposition 5.1 (c),

$$\frac{|A|^4 |B|^8}{|AB|^4 \cdot (\log_2 |B|)^4} \preceq |A_{j_0}|^4 \cdot 16^{j_0} \leq |A| \cdot |A_{j_0}|^3 \cdot 16^{j_0} \preceq \frac{|A+B|^{10}}{|A|^2 |B|},$$

which yields

$$|A+B|^{10} |AB|^4 \succeq \frac{|A|^6 |B|^9}{(\log_2 |B|)^4}.$$

By symmetry,

$$|B+A|^{10} |BA|^4 \succeq \frac{|B|^6 |A|^9}{(\log_2 |A|)^4}.$$

This proves Theorem 1.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4: Suppose $|A| \succeq p^{0.5}, |B| \succeq p^{0.5}$. Choose $j_0 \leq \lceil \log_2 |B| \rceil$ so that

$$|A_{j_0}| 2^{j_0} \succeq \frac{|A||B|^2}{|AB| \cdot \log_2 |B|}.$$

There are two cases to consider.

(♠) Suppose $\frac{A_{j_0}-A_{j_0}}{A_{j_0}-A_{j_0}} \neq \mathbb{F}_p$. By Lemma 2.3, $|A_{j_0}| \preceq p^{0.5}$. By Proposition 5.1 (c),

$$|A_{j_0}|^3 16^{j_0} \preceq \frac{|A+B|^{10}}{|A|^3|B|}.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{|A|^4|B|^8}{|AB|^4 \cdot (\log_2 |B|)^4} \preceq 16^{j_0} |A_{j_0}|^4 = 16^{j_0} |A_{j_0}|^3 \cdot |A_{j_0}| \preceq \frac{|A+B|^{10}}{|A|^3|B|} \cdot p^{0.5},$$

which yields

$$|A+B|^{10} |AB|^4 \succeq \frac{|A|^7|B|^9}{p^{0.5} \cdot (\log_2 |B|)^4}.$$

By symmetry,

$$(18) \quad |B+A|^{10} |BA|^4 \succeq \frac{|B|^7|A|^9}{p^{0.5} \cdot (\log_2 |A|)^4}.$$

(♣) Suppose $\frac{A_{j_0}-A_{j_0}}{A_{j_0}-A_{j_0}} = \mathbb{F}_p$. If $|A_{j_0}| \preceq p^{0.5}$, then follow the analysis in (♠) to obtain (18). Next suppose $|A_{j_0}| \succeq p^{0.5}$, then by Proposition 5.1 (b),

$$p 16^{j_0} \preceq \frac{|A+B|^8}{|A|^3}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{|B|^8}{|AB|^4 \cdot (\log_2 |B|)^4} \leq \frac{|B|^8 \cdot |A|^4}{|AB|^4 \cdot (\log_2 |B|)^4 \cdot |A_{j_0}|^4} \preceq 16^{j_0} \preceq \frac{|A+B|^8}{p|A|^3},$$

which yields

$$|A+B|^8 |AB|^4 \succeq \frac{p|A|^3|B|^8}{(\log_2 |B|)^4}.$$

By symmetry,

$$(19) \quad |B+A|^8 |BA|^4 \succeq \frac{p|B|^3|A|^8}{(\log_2 |A|)^4}.$$

Thus Theorem 1.4 follows from (18) and (19). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5: Suppose $|A| \sim |B| \preceq p^{0.5}$. By Proposition 5.1 (c),

$$\max_j 16^j |A_j|^3 \preceq \frac{|A+B|^{10}}{|A|^4}.$$

By Lemma 2.5,

$$\max_j 16^j |A_j|^3 \succeq \frac{E^\times(A, B)^4}{|A|^5} \succeq \frac{|A|^{11}}{|AB|^4}.$$

Consequently,

$$|A+B|^{10} |AB|^4 \succeq |A|^{15}.$$

This proves Theorem 1.5. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Chun-Yen Shen for clarifying some proofs in this paper. He also thanks Jian Shen and Yaokun Wu for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Mathematical Tianyuan Foundation of China (No. 10826088) and Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (ARP 003615-0039-2007).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain, *More on the sum-product phenomenon in prime fields and its applications*. Int. J. Number Theory **1** (2005) 1–32.
- [2] J. Bourgain, M. Z. Garaev, *On a variant of sum-product estimates and explicit exponential sum bounds in prime fields*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **146** (2009) 1–21.
- [3] J. Bourgain, A. A. Glibichuk, S. V. Konyagin, *Estimates for the number of sums and products and for exponential sums in fields of prime order*. J. London Math. Soc. **73** (2006) 380–398.
- [4] J. Bourgain, N. Katz, T. Tao, *A sum-product estimate in finite fields, and applications*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **14** (2004) 27–57.
- [5] M.-C. Chang, *On product sets in fields of prime order and an application of Burgess’ inequality*. Preprint, 2007.
- [6] M.-C. Chang, *Explicit sum-product theorems for large subsets of $\mathbb{F}_p$* . Preprint, 2008.
- [7] M. Z. Garaev, *An explicit sum-product estimate in $\mathbb{F}_p$* . Int. Math. Res. Not. (2007) no. 11, Art. ID rnm035.
- [8] M. Z. Garaev, *The sum-product estimate for large subsets of prime fields*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008) 2735–2739.
- [9] A. A. Glibichuk, *Combinatorial properties of sets of residues modulo a prime and the Erdős-Graham problem*. (Russian) Mat. Zametki 79 (2006), no. 3, 384–395; translation in Math. Notes 79 (2006), no. 3–4, 356–365.
- [10] A. A. Glibichuk, S. V. Konyagin, *Additive properties of product sets in fields of prime order*. Additive combinatorics, 279–286, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 43, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [11] K. Gyarmati, M. Matolcsi, I. Z. Ruzsa, *Plünnecke’s inequality for different summands*. Building bridges, 309–320, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 19, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [12] D. Hart, A. Iosevich, J. Solymosi, *Sum-product estimates in finite fields via Kloosterman sums*. Int. Math. Res. Notices (2007) no. 5, Art. ID rnm007.
- [13] N. H. Katz, C.-Y. Shen, *A slight improvement to Garaev’s sum product estimate*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008) 2499–2504.
- [14] C.-Y. Shen, *Quantitative sum product estimates on different sets*. Electron. J. Combin. **15** (2008) Note 40.
- [15] C.-Y. Shen, *An extension of Bourgain and Garaev’s sum-product estimates*. Acta Arith. **135** (2008) 351–356.
- [16] C.-Y. Shen, *On the sum product estimates and two variables expanders*. accepted by Publ. Math. 2008.
- [17] T. Tao, V. H. Vu, *Additive Combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [18] V. H. Vu, *Sum-product estimates via directed expanders*. Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008) 375–388.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200240, CHINA &
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN MARCOS, TEXAS 78666, USA

E-mail address: liliangpan@yahoo.com.cn